REMARKS

With the cancellation of claim 7, claims 1-6 and 8-13 are pending in the present application.

Claims 1-6 and 9-13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 5,707,215 to Olney ("Olney"). Claims 7 and 8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Olney in view of United States Patent No. 6,789,928 to Khan ("Khan").

Applicants have incorporated into claim 1 the subject matter of now-canceled claim 7.

Khan shows the presence of a spring 72, but this is not used at all within the scope of a "device for power supply" as claimed in claim 1. This becomes clear also in that in Khan, in column 7, lines 64 ff, specifically a classical battery 24 is provided, which naturally does not require a spring. One skilled in the art, who wishes to infer from Khan hints on designing an energy supply, will therefore find no suggestion of using a spring for this purpose.

It is therefore respectfully submitted that all of the presently pending claims are allowable. All issues raised by the Examiner have been addressed, and an early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON LLP

Dated: 9/1/06

By: 15 (8, No. 41, 172)

By: 8(2

Gerard A. Messina

Reg. 35,952

One Broadway New York, New York, 10004 (212) 425-5288